

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/087,213 03/01/2002 Craig B. Ranger LACH:0112/VAN 6912 EXAMINER 06/01/2005 Robert A. Van Someren NAGPAUL, JYOTI Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. Box 692289 Houston, TX 77269-2289 1743

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/087,213 | RANGER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jyoti Nagpaul | 1743 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 November 2004. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). s received on (with a Certific | eate of Mailing or Transmission dated |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for seeking court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | |
| | Supervisor Techno | Jili Warden ory Patent Examiner ology Center 1700 |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to |
| minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | |